

# AN INCOHERENT SIMPLE GROUP

DIEGO RATTAGGI

ABSTRACT. We give an example of a finitely presented simple group containing a finitely generated subgroup which is not finitely presented.

A group is called *coherent*, if every finitely generated subgroup is finitely presented. The class of coherent groups for example includes free groups, surface groups, or 3-manifold groups ([10]). On the other hand, the group  $F_2 \times F_2$  is incoherent ([11]), where  $F_k$  denotes the free group of rank  $k$ . This also follows from the subsequent result of Grunewald:

**Proposition 1.** (Grunewald [5, Proposition B]) *Let  $F$  be a free group of rank  $k \geq 2$ , generated by  $\{s_1, \dots, s_k\}$ . Let  $r_1, \dots, r_m$  be words over  $\{s_1, \dots, s_k\}^{\pm 1}$  and  $R$  their normal closure  $\langle\langle r_1, \dots, r_m \rangle\rangle_F$  in  $F$ . Let  $H$  be the group with presentation  $\langle s_1, \dots, s_k \mid r_1, \dots, r_m \rangle$  and  $\phi$  the canonical epimorphism  $\phi : F \rightarrow H \cong F/R$ . Let  $\bar{F}$  be a free group of rank  $k$  generated by  $\{t_1, \dots, t_k\}$  and  $\psi$  the isomorphism  $F \rightarrow \bar{F}$ , mapping  $s_i$  to  $t_i$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, k$ . Let  $\bar{H} = \langle t_1, \dots, t_k \mid \psi(r_1), \dots, \psi(r_m) \rangle$ , and  $\tilde{\psi} : H \rightarrow \bar{H}$  the isomorphism induced by  $\psi$ . Finally, let  $\bar{\phi}$  be the canonical epimorphism  $\bar{F} \rightarrow \bar{H} \cong \bar{F}/\psi(R)$  (see the commutative diagram below for a summary of this notation). Suppose that  $H$  is infinite and  $R \neq \{1\}$ . Then the group  $\{(s, t) \in F \times \bar{F} : \tilde{\psi}(\phi(s)) = \bar{\phi}(t)\}$  is a subgroup of  $F \times \bar{F}$  generated by the  $k + m$  elements  $(s_1, t_1), \dots, (s_k, t_k), (r_1, 1), \dots, (r_m, 1)$ , but it is not finitely presented.*

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 R = \langle\langle r_1, \dots, r_m \rangle\rangle_F & \xrightarrow{\quad} & F = \langle s_1, \dots, s_k \rangle & \xrightarrow{\phi} & H \cong F/R \\
 \psi|_R \downarrow \cong & & \psi \downarrow \cong & & \tilde{\psi} \downarrow \cong \\
 \psi(R) = \langle\langle \psi(r_1), \dots, \psi(r_m) \rangle\rangle_{\bar{F}} & \xrightarrow{\quad} & \bar{F} = \langle t_1, \dots, t_k \rangle & \xrightarrow{\bar{\phi}} & \bar{H} \cong \bar{F}/\psi(R)
 \end{array}$$

DIAGRAM 1. The setup for Proposition 1

Our strategy will be to construct a finitely presented simple group  $\Lambda$  containing a subgroup isomorphic to  $F_2 \times F_2$ . Proposition 1 then allows us to construct an explicit subgroup of  $\Lambda$  generated by three elements, which is not finitely presented. We first define a group  $\Gamma$  which will contain  $\Lambda$  as a normal subgroup of index 4.

---

*Date:* July 18, 2005.

*2000 Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary: 20E07, 20E32. Secondary: 20F05, 20F65, 20F67, 57M20.

Supported by the Swiss National Science Foundation, No. PP002-68627.

Let  $\Gamma$  be the group with finite presentation  $\langle a_1, \dots, a_6, b_1, \dots, b_5 \mid R_\Gamma \rangle$ , where

$$R_\Gamma := \left\{ \begin{array}{l} a_1 b_1 a_2^{-1} b_2^{-1}, \quad a_1 b_2 a_1^{-1} b_1^{-1}, \quad a_1 b_3 a_2^{-1} b_3^{-1}, \quad a_1 b_4 a_1^{-1} b_4^{-1}, \quad a_1 b_5 a_2^{-1} b_5^{-1}, \\ a_1 b_5^{-1} a_4 b_5^{-1}, \quad a_1 b_3^{-1} a_2^{-1} b_2, \quad a_1 b_1^{-1} a_2^{-1} b_3, \quad a_2 b_2 a_2^{-1} b_1^{-1}, \quad a_2 b_4 a_2^{-1} b_4^{-1}, \\ a_2 b_5 a_5^{-1} b_5, \quad a_3 b_1 a_4^{-1} b_2^{-1}, \quad a_3 b_2 a_3^{-1} b_1^{-1}, \quad a_3 b_3 a_4^{-1} b_3^{-1}, \quad a_3 b_4 a_4 b_4, \\ a_3 b_5 a_4 b_4^{-1}, \quad a_3 b_5^{-1} a_6^{-1} b_5^{-1}, \quad a_3 b_4^{-1} a_4 b_5, \quad a_3 b_3^{-1} a_4^{-1} b_2, \quad a_3 b_1^{-1} a_4^{-1} b_3, \\ a_4 b_2 a_4^{-1} b_1^{-1}, \quad a_5 b_1 a_5^{-1} b_1^{-1}, \quad a_5 b_2 a_5 b_3^{-1}, \quad a_5 b_3 a_6^{-1} b_5, \quad a_5 b_4 a_5^{-1} b_4^{-1}, \\ a_5 b_5 a_6^{-1} b_2^{-1}, \quad a_5 b_2^{-1} a_6 b_3, \quad a_6 b_1 a_6^{-1} b_3, \quad a_6 b_2 a_6^{-1} b_4^{-1}, \quad a_6 b_4 a_6^{-1} b_1 \end{array} \right\}.$$

We have found  $\Gamma$  using programs written in GAP([4]). It is constructed such that simultaneously (compare to the proof of Theorem 5)

- $\Gamma < \text{Aut}(\mathcal{T}_{12}) \times \text{Aut}(\mathcal{T}_{10})$ , where  $\text{Aut}(\mathcal{T}_k)$  denotes the group of automorphisms of the  $k$ -regular tree  $\mathcal{T}_k$ ,
- $\Gamma$  (as well as any finite index subgroup of  $\Gamma$ ) does not have any non-trivial normal subgroup of infinite index by a theorem of Burger-Mozes ([3]),
- the subgroup  $\langle a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4, b_1, b_2, b_3 \rangle_\Gamma$  of  $\Gamma$  is not residually finite by a theorem of Wise ([12, Main Theorem II.5.5]), more precisely the element  $a_2 a_1^{-1} a_3 a_4^{-1}$  is contained in each finite index subgroup of  $\langle a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4, b_1, b_2, b_3 \rangle_\Gamma$  and therefore in each finite index subgroup of  $\Gamma$ ,
- the normal closure  $\langle\langle a_2 a_1^{-1} a_3 a_4^{-1} \rangle\rangle_\Gamma$  has finite index in  $\Gamma$ ,
- the subgroup  $\langle a_5, a_1 a_2^{-1}, b_1, b_4 \rangle_\Gamma$  is isomorphic to  $F_2 \times F_2$ .

The latter statement will follow from Lemma 4 below, using a well-known normal form for elements in  $\Gamma$ :

**Lemma 2.** (Bridson-Wise [2, Normal Form Lemma 4.3]) *Any element  $\gamma \in \Gamma$  can be written as  $\gamma = \sigma_a \sigma_b = \sigma'_b \sigma'_a$ , where  $\sigma_a, \sigma'_a$  are freely reduced words in the subgroup  $\langle a_1, \dots, a_6 \rangle_\Gamma$  and  $\sigma_b, \sigma'_b$  are freely reduced words in  $\langle b_1, \dots, b_5 \rangle_\Gamma$ . The words  $\sigma_a, \sigma'_a, \sigma_b, \sigma'_b$  are uniquely determined by  $\gamma$ . Moreover,  $|\sigma_a| = |\sigma'_a|$  and  $|\sigma_b| = |\sigma'_b|$ , where  $|\cdot|$  is the word length with respect to the standard generators  $\{a_1, \dots, a_6, b_1, \dots, b_5\}^{\pm 1}$  of  $\Gamma$ .*

Note that Lemma 2 was proved in [2] for a certain class of fundamental groups of square complexes covered by a product of trees. The following two lemmas are a direct consequence of the uniqueness statement in Lemma 2.

**Lemma 3.** *The subgroup  $\langle a_1, \dots, a_6 \rangle_\Gamma$  is a free group of rank 6, and  $\langle b_1, \dots, b_5 \rangle_\Gamma$  is a free group of rank 5.*

**Lemma 4.** *Let  $a, \tilde{a} \in \langle a_1, \dots, a_6 \rangle_\Gamma$  and  $b, \tilde{b} \in \langle b_1, \dots, b_5 \rangle_\Gamma$ , such that  $ab = ba$ ,  $a\tilde{b} = \tilde{b}a$ ,  $\tilde{a}b = b\tilde{a}$  and  $\tilde{a}\tilde{b} = \tilde{b}\tilde{a}$ . Then the map  $\langle a, \tilde{a}, b, \tilde{b} \rangle_\Gamma \rightarrow \langle a, \tilde{a} \rangle_\Gamma \times \langle b, \tilde{b} \rangle_\Gamma$ , given by  $a \mapsto (a, 1)$ ,  $\tilde{a} \mapsto (\tilde{a}, 1)$ ,  $b \mapsto (1, b)$ ,  $\tilde{b} \mapsto (1, \tilde{b})$  is an isomorphism of groups. In particular, if moreover  $\langle a, \tilde{a} \rangle_\Gamma \cong F_2$  and  $\langle b, \tilde{b} \rangle_\Gamma \cong F_2$ , then  $\langle a, \tilde{a}, b, \tilde{b} \rangle_\Gamma \cong F_2 \times F_2$ .*

We define our main group  $\Lambda$  to be the kernel of the surjective homomorphism of groups

$$\begin{aligned}\varphi : \Gamma &\rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \\ a_1, \dots, a_6 &\mapsto (1 + 2\mathbb{Z}, 0 + 2\mathbb{Z}), \\ b_1, \dots, b_5 &\mapsto (0 + 2\mathbb{Z}, 1 + 2\mathbb{Z}).\end{aligned}$$

**Theorem 5.** *The finitely presented group  $\Lambda$  is simple and incoherent. More precisely, the subgroup  $\langle a_5^2 b_1^2, a_1 a_2^{-1} b_4^2, a_5^2 \rangle_\Gamma < \Lambda$  is not finitely presented.*

*Proof.* The simplicity of  $\Lambda$  follows similarly as in [8, Theorem 3.5], but we recall the main steps in the proof. First note that  $\Gamma$  is the fundamental group of a finite square complex  $X$  having a single vertex called  $x$ , having  $6 + 5$  oriented loops (identified with  $a_1, \dots, a_6, b_1, \dots, b_5$ ) and  $6 \cdot 5$  squares (identified with the relators in  $R_\Gamma$ ). Those 30 squares are carefully chosen such that several conditions simultaneously hold. For example, the link of  $x$  in  $X$  is a complete bipartite graph on  $12 + 10$  vertices which correspond to  $\{a_1, \dots, a_6\}^{\pm 1}$  and  $\{b_1, \dots, b_5\}^{\pm 1}$ . As a consequence, the universal covering space  $\tilde{X}$  is a product of two regular trees  $\mathcal{T}_{12} \times \mathcal{T}_{10}$ , and  $\Gamma$  is a subgroup of  $\text{Aut}(\mathcal{T}_{12}) \times \text{Aut}(\mathcal{T}_{10})$ . The local actions on  $\mathcal{T}_{12}$  of the projection of  $\Gamma$  to the first factor  $\text{Aut}(\mathcal{T}_{12})$  are described by finite permutation groups  $P_h^{(k)}(\Gamma) < S_{12 \cdot 11^{k-1}}$ , where  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  (see [3, Chapter 1] or [8]). We compute for  $k = 1$

$$\begin{aligned}P_h^{(1)}(\Gamma) &= \langle (9, 10)(11, 12), (1, 2)(3, 4)(5, 6, 8), (1, 2)(3, 4)(5, 8, 7)(9, 10)(11, 12), \\ &\quad (3, 9)(4, 10), (1, 9, 3, 6, 5, 2)(4, 12, 11, 8, 7, 10) \rangle = A_{12}\end{aligned}$$

and similarly (taking the projection to the second factor of  $\text{Aut}(\mathcal{T}_{12}) \times \text{Aut}(\mathcal{T}_{10})$ , thus getting finite permutation groups  $P_v^{(k)}(\Gamma) < S_{10 \cdot 9^{k-1}}$ )

$$\begin{aligned}P_v^{(1)}(\Gamma) &= \langle (1, 2)(5, 6)(8, 10, 9), (1, 2, 3)(5, 6)(9, 10), (1, 2)(4, 5, 6, 7)(8, 10, 9), \\ &\quad (1, 2, 3)(4, 5, 6, 7)(9, 10), (2, 5, 6, 3)(8, 9), (1, 7, 9, 6, 5, 8)(2, 3, 10, 4) \rangle = A_{10}.\end{aligned}$$

By the Normal Subgroup Theorem of Burger-Mozes ([3], see also [8]), using the simplicity and high transitivity of  $A_{12}$  and  $A_{10}$ , the group  $\Gamma$  has no non-trivial normal subgroups of infinite index. This theorem can also be applied to any finite index subgroup of  $\Gamma$ , in particular to  $\Lambda < \Gamma$  which is a subgroup of index 4 by definition.

Next we have to study the *finite* index subgroups of  $\Lambda$ . We start with the subgroup  $\langle a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4, b_1, b_2, b_3 \rangle_\Gamma$  of  $\Gamma$ . It has a presentation

$$\begin{aligned}\langle a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4, b_1, b_2, b_3 \mid &a_1 b_1 a_2^{-1} b_2^{-1}, a_1 b_2 a_1^{-1} b_1^{-1}, a_1 b_3 a_2^{-1} b_3^{-1}, a_1 b_3^{-1} a_2^{-1} b_2, \\ &a_1 b_1^{-1} a_2^{-1} b_3, a_2 b_2 a_2^{-1} b_1^{-1}, a_3 b_1 a_4^{-1} b_2^{-1}, a_3 b_2 a_3^{-1} b_1^{-1}, \\ &a_3 b_3 a_4^{-1} b_3^{-1}, a_3 b_3^{-1} a_4^{-1} b_2, a_3 b_1^{-1} a_4^{-1} b_3, a_4 b_2 a_4^{-1} b_1^{-1} \rangle,\end{aligned}$$

since it is the fundamental group  $\pi_1(W, x)$  of a finite square complex  $W$  which is embedded in  $X$  by construction. This implies that  $\pi_1(W, x) < \Gamma = \pi_1(X, x)$ . Observe that the 12 relators in the presentation of  $\pi_1(W, x)$  also appear in the presentation of  $\Gamma$ , and that the link of the single vertex (again called  $x$ ) in  $W$  is a complete bipartite graph on  $8 + 6$  vertices corresponding to  $\{a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4\}^{\pm 1}$  and  $\{b_1, b_2, b_3\}^{\pm 1}$ . This group  $\pi_1(W, x)$  is not residually finite and was introduced exactly for this purpose by Wise in [12] where it is called  $\pi_1(D)$ . He showed for

example that the element  $a_2a_1^{-1}a_3a_4^{-1}$  is contained in each finite index subgroup of  $\pi_1(W, x)$ . Consequently, this element is also contained in each finite index subgroup of  $\Gamma$ . Since  $\langle\langle a_2a_1^{-1}a_3a_4^{-1} \rangle\rangle_\Gamma$  has index 4 in  $\Gamma$ , it follows (see [8]) that

$$\Lambda = \langle\langle a_2a_1^{-1}a_3a_4^{-1} \rangle\rangle_\Gamma = \bigcap \{N \triangleleft \Gamma : N \text{ has finite index in } \Gamma\},$$

but the latter group is easily seen to have no proper subgroups of finite index, hence  $\Lambda$  is simple.

We show now that  $\Lambda$  is incoherent. First observe that  $a_1a_2^{-1}$  commutes with  $b_1$  in  $\pi_1(W, x)$  (and therefore in  $\Gamma$ ), since

$$a_1a_2^{-1}b_1 = a_1b_2a_2^{-1} = b_1a_1a_2^{-1},$$

using the square relators  $a_2b_2a_2^{-1}b_1^{-1}$  and  $a_1b_2a_1^{-1}b_1^{-1}$  from the presentation of  $\pi_1(W, x)$ . Moreover, we have forced  $X$  to contain certain tori, namely  $a_1b_4a_1^{-1}b_4^{-1}$ ,  $a_2b_4a_2^{-1}b_4^{-1}$ ,  $a_5b_1a_5^{-1}b_1^{-1}$  and  $a_5b_4a_5^{-1}b_4^{-1}$ . This implies that

$$a_5b_1 = b_1a_5, \quad a_5b_4 = b_4a_5 \quad \text{and} \quad a_1a_2^{-1}b_4 = b_4a_1a_2^{-1}$$

holds in  $\Gamma$ . By Lemma 4 and Lemma 3,

$$\langle a_5, a_1a_2^{-1}, b_1, b_4 \rangle_\Gamma \cong \langle a_5, a_1a_2^{-1} \rangle_\Gamma \times \langle b_1, b_4 \rangle_\Gamma \cong F_2 \times F_2,$$

but this group is not contained in  $\Lambda$  (recall the definition of  $\Lambda$  as kernel of  $\varphi$ ). Therefore, we take the subgroup

$$\langle a_5^2, a_1a_2^{-1}, b_1^2, b_4^2 \rangle_\Gamma \cong \langle a_5^2, a_1a_2^{-1} \rangle_\Gamma \times \langle b_1^2, b_4^2 \rangle_\Gamma \cong F_2 \times F_2,$$

which is obviously a subgroup of  $\Lambda$ . To see that  $\langle a_5^2b_1^2, a_1a_2^{-1}b_4^2, a_5^2 \rangle_\Gamma$  is not finitely presented, we apply Proposition 1 to the following setting:  $k = 2$ ,  $s_1 = a_5^2$ ,  $s_2 = a_1a_2^{-1}$ ,  $t_1 = b_1^2$ ,  $t_2 = b_4^2$ , and  $m = 1$ ,  $r_1 = s_1$ , that is we take  $F = \langle a_5^2, a_1a_2^{-1} \rangle_\Gamma \cong F_2$  and  $\bar{F} = \langle b_1^2, b_4^2 \rangle_\Gamma \cong F_2$ . It remains to check that  $H$  is infinite and  $R \neq \{1\}$ , but this is clear since  $H = \langle s_1, s_2 \mid s_1 \rangle \cong \langle a_1a_2^{-1} \rangle_\Gamma \cong \mathbb{Z}$ , and  $R = \langle\langle a_5^2 \rangle\rangle_F$ .  $\square$

**Remark 6.** Note that the group  $\Lambda$  can be decomposed as amalgamated products  $F_9 *_{F_{97}} F_9$  and  $F_{11} *_{F_{101}} F_{11}$  (see [7, Proposition 1.4]), in particular  $\Lambda$  is torsion-free.

**Remark 7.** It is well-known that the word problem is solvable for any finitely presented simple group. In fact, by a theorem of Boone-Higman ([1]), a finitely generated group has solvable word problem if and only if it can be embedded in a simple subgroup of a finitely presented group. However, the *generalized* word problem is not solvable for the simple group  $\Lambda$ , since it contains  $F_2 \times F_2$  (using a result of Mihaïlova [6]). Recall that the generalized word problem is solvable for a group  $G$  if it is decidable for any element  $g \in G$  and any finitely generated subgroup  $H < G$  whether or not  $g$  lies in  $H$ . It is also known that  $\Lambda$  has solvable conjugacy problem (being bi-automatic).

**Remark 8.** We mention two other ways to construct finitely presented incoherent simple groups: One is to directly embed  $F_2 \times F_2$  into a virtually simple group by [3, Theorem 6.5]. The second one is a finitely presented simple group containing  $\text{GL}_4(\mathbb{Z})$  constructed by E. Scott ([9]). It is known ([5]) that  $\text{SL}_4(\mathbb{Z}) < \text{GL}_4(\mathbb{Z})$  is incoherent. In fact, if  $A, B \in \text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$  generate a free group of rank 2, and  $E$  denotes the identity matrix in  $\text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ , then

$$\left\langle \left( \begin{array}{cc} A & 0 \\ 0 & E \end{array} \right), \left( \begin{array}{cc} B & 0 \\ 0 & E \end{array} \right), \left( \begin{array}{cc} E & 0 \\ 0 & A \end{array} \right), \left( \begin{array}{cc} E & 0 \\ 0 & B \end{array} \right) \right\rangle_{\text{SL}_4(\mathbb{Z})} \cong F_2 \times F_2.$$

Explicitly, one can take

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, B = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 2 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

## REFERENCES

- [1] W. W. Boone and G. Higman, ‘An algebraic characterization of groups with soluble word problem’, Collection of articles dedicated to the memory of Hanna Neumann, IX. J. Austral. Math. Soc. **18**(1974), 41–53.
- [2] M. R. Bridson and D. T. Wise, ‘ $VH$  complexes, towers and subgroups of  $F \times F$ ’, Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc. **126**(1999), no. 3, 481–497.
- [3] M. Burger and S. Mozes, ‘Lattices in product of trees’, Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math. No. 92 (2001), 151–194.
- [4] The GAP Group, GAP – Groups, Algorithms, and Programming, Version 4.4; 2004. (<http://www.gap-system.org>)
- [5] F. J. Grunewald, ‘On some groups which cannot be finitely presented’, J. London Math. Soc. (2) **17**(1978), no. 3, 427–436.
- [6] K. A. Mihaïlova, ‘The occurrence problem for direct products of groups’, (Russian) Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR **119**(1958), 1103–1105.
- [7] D. Rattaggi, ‘Computations in groups acting on a product of trees: normal subgroup structures and quaternion lattices’, Ph.D. thesis, ETH Zürich, 2004.
- [8] D. Rattaggi, ‘A finitely presented torsion-free simple group’, Preprint, 2004, available at [arXiv:math.GR/0411546](http://arXiv.math.GR/0411546).
- [9] E. A. Scott, ‘The embedding of certain linear and abelian groups in finitely presented simple groups’, J. Algebra **90**(1984), no. 2, 323–332.
- [10] G. P. Scott, ‘Finitely generated 3-manifold groups are finitely presented’, J. London Math. Soc. (2) **6**(1973), 437–440.
- [11] J. Stallings, ‘Coherence of 3-manifold fundamental groups’, Séminaire Bourbaki, Vol. 1975/76, 28<sup>e</sup> ème année, Exp. No. 481, pp. 167–173. Lecture Notes in Math., Vol. 567, Springer, Berlin, 1977.
- [12] D. T. Wise, ‘Non-positively curved squared complexes, aperiodic tilings, and non-residually finite groups’, Ph.D. thesis, Princeton University, 1996.

UNIVERSITÉ DE GENÈVE, SECTION DE MATHÉMATIQUES, 2–4 RUE DU LIÈVRE, CP 64, CH–1211 GENÈVE 4, SWITZERLAND

*E-mail address:* [rattaggi@math.unige.ch](mailto:rattaggi@math.unige.ch)